Barr Summary

Proper Noun
Adverb
Noun
Adverb
Noun
Adjective
Adjective Ends In Est
Adjective
Verb Past Tense
Verb Past Tense
Adjective
Adjective
Verb Present Ends In Ing
Verb Present Ends In Ing
Verb Present Ends In Ing

24.	Noun	
25.	Adverb	
26.	Noun	
27.	Adverb	
28.	Noun	
29.	Adjective	
30.	Adjective	·
31.	Verb Base Form	
32.	Noun	

Barr Summary

On Friday, the Special Counsel submitted to me a "confidential report explaining the prosecution or declination
decisions" he has reached, as required by 28 C.F.R. \$ 600.8(c). This report is entitled " on the
Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election." Although my is
ongoing, I believe that it is in the public interest to describe the report and to summarize the principal
Noun reached by the Special Counsel and the results of his Noun.
The report explains that the Special Counsel and his staff thoroughly investigated allegations that members of
the presidential of Donald J. Trump, and others associated with it, conspired with the Russian
government in its efforts to interfere in the 2016 U.S. presidential election, or sought to obstruct the related
federal investigations. In the report, the Special Counsel noted that, in completing his investigation, he employed
19 Noun who were assisted by a team of approximately 40 FBI Noun, intelligence analysts,
forensic Noun, and other professional staff. The Special Counsel issued more than 2,800 subpoenas,
executed nearly 500 search warrants, obtained more than 230 orders for communication records, issued almost
50 orders authorizing use of pen registers, made 13 requests to foreign for evidence, and
interviewed approximately 500
The Special Counsel obtained a number of indictments and convictions of and entities in
connection with his investigation, all of which have been publicly disclosed. During the course of his
investigation.

the Special Counsel also referred several matters to other offices for further action. The report does not
recommend any indictments, nor did the Special Counsel obtain any sealed indictments that
have yet to be made public. Below, I summarize the principal conclusions set out in the Special Counsel's report.
Russian Interference in the 2016 U.S. Noun Election.
The Special Counsel's report is divided into parts. The first describes the results of the Special
Counsel's investigation into Russia's interference in the 2016 U.S. presidential election. The report outlines the
Russian effort to influence the election and documents crimes committed by persons associated with the
Adjective Ends in EST government in connection with those efforts. The report further explains that a primary
consideration for the Special Counsel's investigation was whether any Americans - including individuals
associated with the Trump campaign - joined the Russian conspiracies to influence the election, which would be
a federal crime. The Special Counsel's investigation did not find that the Trump campaign or
associated with it conspired or coordinated with Russia in its efforts to influence the 2016 U.S. presidential
election. As the report states: "[T]he investigation did not establish that members of the Trump Campaign
conspired or coordinated with the Russian government in its election interference activities."
The Special Counsel's investigation determined that there were two main Russian to
influence the 2016 election. The first involved attempts by a Russian organization, the Internet Research Agency
(IRA), to conduct disinformation and social media operations in the United States designed to sow social discord

eventually with the aim of interfering with the election. As noted above, the Special Counsel did not find that
any U.S. person or Trump campaign official or associate conspired or knowingly with the
IRA in its efforts, although the Special Counsel brought criminal charges against a number of Russian nationals
and entities in connection with these activities.
The second element involved the Russian government's efforts to conduct computer operations
designed to gather and disseminate information to influence the election. The Special Counsel found that
Russian government actors successfully hacked into computers and obtained emails from persons affiliated with
the Clinton campaign and Party organizations, and publicly disseminated those materials
through various intermediaries, including WikiLeaks. Based on these activities, the Special Counsel brought
criminal charges against a number of Russian military officers for to hack into
computers in the United States for purposes of influencing the election. But as noted above, the Special Counsel
did not find that the Trump campaign, or anyone associated with it, conspired or coordinated with the Russian
government in these efforts, despite multiple offers from Russian-affiliated individuals to assist the Trump
campaign.
Obstruction of Justice.
The report's second part addresses a number of actions by the President - most of which have been the subject of
public that the Special Counsel investigated as potentially raising obstruction-of-
justice

concerns. After making a "thorough factual investigation" into these matters, the Special Counsel considered
whether to evaluate the conduct under Department standards verb Present ends in ING prosecution and
declination decisions but ultimately determined not to make a traditional prosecutorial judgment. The Special
Counsel therefore did not draw a conclusion - one way or the other - as to whether the examined conduct
constituted obstruction. Instead, for each of the relevant actions investigated, the report sets out evidence on both
sides of the question and leaves unresolved what the Special Counsel views as "difficult issues" of
and fact concerning whether the President's and intent could be viewed as
obstruction. The Special Counsel states that "while this report does not conclude that the President committed a
crime, it also does not exonerate him."
The Special Counsel's decision to describe the facts of his obstruction investigation without reaching any legal
conclusions leaves it to the Attorney to determine whether the conduct described in the report
constitutes a crime. Over the course of the investigation, the Special Counsel's office engaged in
with certain Department officials regarding many of the legal and factual matters at issue in the Special
Counsel's obstruction investigation. After reviewing the Special Counsel's final report on these issues; consulting
with Department officials, including the Office of Legal; and applying the principles of federal
prosecution that guide our charging decisions, Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein and I have
concluded that the evidence developed during the Special Counsel's investigation is not sufficient to establish
that the President committed an obstruction-of-justice offense. Our determination was made without regard to,
and

is not based on, the constitutional considerations that surround the indictment and criminal prosecution of a sitting president.

In making this determination, we noted that the Special Counsel recognized that "the evidence does not establish that the President was _______ in an underlying crime related to Russian election interference," and that , while not determinative, the absence of such evidence bears upon the President's intent with respect to obstruction. Generally speaking, to obtain and sustain an obstruction conviction, the government would need to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a person, acting with corrupt intent, engaged in obstructive conduct with a sufficient nexus to a pending or contemplated proceeding. In cataloguing the President's actions, many of which took place in public view, the report identifies no actions that, in our judgment, _______ verb Base Form ______ obstructive conduct, had a nexus to a pending or contemplated proceeding, and were done with corrupt intent, each of which , under the Department's principles of federal prosecution guiding charging decisions, would need to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt to establish an _______ offense.

©2025 WordBlanks.com · All Rights Reserved.