China's Deficit in American Studies

1.	Proper Noun
2.	Adjective
3.	Noun
4.	Noun
5.	Proper Noun - Plural
6.	Noun - Plural
7.	Noun
8.	Adjective
9.	Noun - Plural
10.	Noun
11.	Noun - Plural
12.	Noun
13.	Adjective
14.	Noun - Plural
15.	Noun
16.	Noun - Plural
17.	Coordinating Conjunction
18.	Adverb
19.	Noun - Plural
20.	Adjective
21.	Adjective
22.	Proper Noun
23.	Preposition Or Subordinating Conjunction

24.	Noun - Plural	
25.	Coordinating Conjunction	
26.	Preposition Or Subordinating Conjunction	
27.	Noun - Plural	
28.	Noun	
29.	Verb - Past Participle	
30.	Verb - Past Tense	
31.	Adjective	
32.	Adjective	
33.	Preposition Or Subordinating Conjunction	
34.	Noun	
35.	Adjective	
36.	Noun	
37.	Noun	
38.	Noun	
39.	Noun	
40.	Noun	
41.	Preposition Or Subordinating Conjunction	

China's Deficit in American Studies

issues

Proper Noun faces a Adjective imbalance of intellectual Noun with the United States.
Contrary to conventional, Chinese know less about the United proper Noun - Plural than
Americans know about China. Most Chinese students and Noun-Plural interested in the United States
concentrate either on English language and or on Sino-American history and
policy Noun - Plural. There are few opportunities for Noun in the United States, and scholarly
work on American domestic is "woefully inadequate," according to a Peking University
Noun in Adjective studies.
By contrast, Americans have done surveys, Noun - Plural histories, and Noun research in the
humanities, sciences, and sciences all across China, including such sensitive areas as
Coordinating conjunction . Since China's opening to the West, 30 years ago, Americans have acquired
detailedabout nearly every aspect of traditional and contemporary China. "
Just as American scholars go to Hunan and Guangxi," a Chinese told me, "Chinese should
know more about Arizona and Ohio in order to be familiar with theAdjective"
I conducted Preposition or subordinating conjunction in China for the Ford Foundation's Beijing office last year to
review the state of Noun - Plural studies in Coordinating conjunction and to make recommendations for
increased Preposition or subordinating conjunction between specialists in China and the United States. Since 1989,
Ford has been one of the few private Noun - Plural of financial Noun for American studies in
China, but its support has verb - Past Participle in recent years.
My Verb - Past Tense showed that Chinese scholars and policy analysts are Adjective ready and able
to move beyond the narrowly focused approach that has American studies in
Preposition or subordinating conjunction in the past. "We need to study the economy, society, history, and
Noun of the United States, not just what Obama said," said one observer. He and many others are eager
to investigate the cultural, ethnic, and factors that help to explain America's and
its Noun decisions. As it stands now, "China equates American diversity with Noun , not
realizing it is the of the U.S.," says an American professor.
Reflecting their own history and values, Chinese tend to group preposition or subordinating
conjunction over individual rights. , , " ." .
The relative thinness of China's grasp of the American way of life should not be surprising. The serious study of
the United States is still young, and China has lacked the resources to look beyond practical and immediate

such as language, business, law, and diplomacy. Topics including race and ethnicity have been neglected. American institutions, both public and private, are inadequately prepared to respond to changing Chinese imperatives for in-depth learning about the United States. There are several reasons. First, Americans often take their own culture for granted, assuming that U.S. interests and values are widely known and easily accessible. Second, American studies as practiced in the United States is an eclectic, interdisciplinary field that does not always match up well with Chinese academic disciplines. Third, there is a widespread perception that the U.S. government is responsible for explaining America to the world. But with limited resources, concerns about security, and a focus on the Muslim world, the consensus is that U.S. public diplomacy is not getting the job done.

The list of obstacles goes on: American foundations, think tanks, and universities provide far more support for the study of China and U.S.-China relations than for American studies. Many promising Chinese students with backgrounds in American studies are recruited into China-studies programs in the United States, where they have obvious comparative advantages. Over the years, the Fulbright Program in China has shifted from history and literature to fields such as law, business, foreign policy, and communications. And while there are opportunities for individual exchanges between our two countries, remarkably few institutional partnerships focus exclusively on American studies in China.

Despite all of those problems, there is a real opportunity for Chinese and Americans to work together. At a time when scholars in China are ready to move beyond the status quo, many American-studies scholars in the United States have embraced a global view of American history, politics, economics, and culture. They are becoming more aware of important research outside the United States, informing a transnational perspective. This is therefore an excellent time for Americans to both strengthen the study of the United States in China and promote the internationalization of American studies back home.

Here are some recommendations to improve the situation:

- * Increase opportunities for Chinese to attend meetings of professional associations in the United States and for Americans to attend conferences in China.
- * Expand networks for information about the United States through Web sites, conferences, and training programs. The U.S.-China Education Trust and the State Department's Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs offer good models.
- * Provide incentives for Chinese scholars to publish articles in international journals, such as the online Journal of Transnational American Studies.

Support certificate programs for Chinese graduate students and young scholars from less developed regions in China. Universities in China, Hong Kong, and the United States can organize summer institutes.

- * Expand opportunities for student-exchange programs dealing with aspects of American society. These exchanges should be designed as long-term institutional partnerships.
- * Sponsor Sino-American research projects on topics such as immigration, crisis management, poverty, climate change, religion, and Chinese-American studies.
- * Create more pathways for Chinese government officials, diplomats, journalists, students, scholars, and policy analysts to learn about the United States. The National Committee on United States-China Relations and the Asia Foundation could expand existing programs.
- * Organize a consortium of American foundations, with Chinese advisers, to review and help address China's priorities for American studies. Earlier efforts in the fields of international-relations studies and legal studies offer potential models.
- * Establish a China-U.S. advisory group or commission, financially supported by the two governments but managed independently, to identify gaps and foster long-term efforts to promote American studies in China as well as Chinese studies in the United States.

China now expresses its soft power across the United States through 60 Confucius Institutes, which offer resources for teaching Chinese language and culture. Yet America has no equivalent organizations in China, partly because of objections from Chinese authorities. Instead the United States relies mainly on movies, sports, and corporate advertising to convey its core values. It was telling when after seeing the movie Avatar recently, a Chinese official enthusiastically told his American colleague, "This is real American genius."

It is obviously in America's interest for China to have a clear, objective, nuanced understanding of the United States. American colleges, universities, charitable organizations, and foundations should play an active role in rectifying the intellectual imbalance between the two countries. Sustained support for American studies in China will not put an end to suspicion and mistrust, but it does have the potential to smooth out bumps in the road ahead.